

Single polymer dynamics: coil-stretch transition in a random flow.

S. Gerashchenko, C. Chevillard¹, and V. Steinberg

Department of Physics of Complex Systems,

Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100 Israel.

¹*Current address: CEA/Saclay Service de Chimie Moléculaire-
Bat. 125 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.*

(February 3, 2008)

By quantitative studies of statistics of polymer stretching in a random flow and of a flow field we demonstrate that the stretching of polymer molecules in a 3D random flow occurs rather sharply via the coil-stretch transition at the value of the criterion close to theoretically predicted.

PACS numbers: 23.23.+x, 56.65.Dy

The dynamics and conformations of an isolated flexible polymer molecule in various flows form the basis for our understanding of hydrodynamics of dilute polymer solutions and remain an outstanding problem in polymer physics for several decades [1]. For the last 30 years these studies were concentrated on a well-characterized elongation flow by using classical techniques such as rheology, light scattering, and birefringence [1]. In the recent years real-time imaging fluorescence microscopy of a single DNA molecule in a solution was developed to investigate its dynamics in elongation [2] and shear [3] flows, and also in their linear combination [4]. A good agreement with theory in all these cases was found.

In contrast to it, studies of the polymer stretching in a general 3D random flow relevant to many physical and industrial applications, and particularly to turbulent drag reduction, one of the basic problems of polymer physics [1], almost do not exist. The first experimental study of this problem was conducted only recently by macroscopic mechanical measurements [5]. It was shown that as a result of a secondary random 3D flow superimposed on a primary applied shear flow between two disks, the polymer contribution to the shear stress increases as much as 170 times. If one assumes a linear elasticity of the flow-stretched polyacrylamide (PAAm) molecules, then the elastic stress causes 13 times polymer extension [5]. The main difficulty to perform an experiment to study polymer stretching and dynamics on a level of a single molecule is to create a random flow in a microscopic size volume. A conventional way to create chaotic or turbulent flow is to work at high velocities, V , and in a large size vessel, L , to reach large Reynolds numbers, $Re = VL/\nu$, where ν is the kinematic viscosity. Large Re flow cannot be achieved in a hundred micron cell. A way to overcome this problem is to exploit a recently discovered elastic turbulence [6]. It is an apparently random flow that can arise in polymer solutions.

Solutions of flexible polymers are visco-elastic fluids [1]. Polymer molecules stretched in a flow produce elastic stresses that grow nonlinearly with a flow rate and are manifested of many dramatic effects such as several

orders in magnitude increase in extensional viscosity at high extension rates, the rod climbing effect, and particularly the turbulent drag reduction [1]. The strength of non-linear effects is defined by the Weissenberg number $Wi = \tau V/L$. For elasticity-driven flows Wi plays a role analogous to that of Re for inertial turbulence in Newtonian fluids. At sufficiently large Wi and arbitrary small Re a transition from laminar flow to the elastic turbulence occurs in flows with curvilinear trajectories [6]. The elastic turbulence bears the features similar to hydrodynamic turbulence [6]. The fluid motion is excited in a wide range of spatial and temporal scales, whereas significant increase in a flow resistance and effective mixing are observed [6,7]. Since the elastic stresses are independent of Re , they remain unaltered, when the vessel size decreases at the same Wi . Indeed, the elastic turbulence was recently observed in a curvilinear micro-channel flow [8]. Thus the elasticity-driven turbulent flow is a very appropriate system to study single polymer dynamics in a 3D random flow in a micro-device.

In this Letter we report experimental observations of dynamics and conformations of a single DNA molecule in a 3D random flow and of the coil-stretch transition that accompanied it.

The experiments were carried out in a swirling flow created in a $d \approx 300\mu\text{m}$ gap between the edge of a uniformly rotating glass rod of radius $r_1 = 1$ mm and 0.14 mm cover slip. The cell sidewalls were made of delrin with inner radius of $r_2 = 6$ mm. The glass rod was glued into a metal shaft, which was driven via a belt by an optically encoded dc mini-motor with less than 1% rms velocity variation.

We used two polymer solutions: One solution contained 80 ppm of high molecular weight PAAm (18,000,000; Polysciences), and another had 36 ppm of λ -DNA (48,502 bp)(Gibco). Both solutions have viscosity of about $\eta = 0.14$ Pa·s at the shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ s⁻¹ and the working temperature of 22.5 °C (Fig.1A). Two different solutions were used to generate the elastic turbulence and to demonstrate that the dynamics and conformations of a stained DNA molecule do not depend on the way a

random flow was produced.

To study the dynamics and statistics of polymer molecules in a flow 10^{-3} ppm of λ -DNA molecules, fluorescently labelled with YOYO-1 (Molecular Probes) at a dye/base ratio of 1:5 for ≥ 1 hour, were added into both solutions. Experiments were performed in a pH8 buffer consisting of 10mM tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl, 4% β -mercaptoethanol, glucose oxidase ($\sim 50\mu\text{g/ml}$) and catalase ($\sim 10\mu\text{g/ml}$), 62% (w/w) sucrose (see e.g. Ref. [2]). At equilibrium the coiled λ -DNA has $R_g = 0.73\mu\text{m}$, while the entire contour length is $l \approx 21\mu\text{m}$. So it may be considered as a "flexible" polymer with roughly 300 persistence lengths [9,10]. Fluorescently labelled DNA molecules were monitored from 15 to 100 μm above the cover slip via $\times 63$, 1.4NA oil immersion objective (Zeiss) with 0.4 μm depth of focus mounted in a home-made inverted epi-fluorescent microscope. Images of the molecules were digitized, and their maximum extension, R , was automatically measured. Various molecule conformations in the turbulent flow that changed continuously were observed (Fig.1B).

The data on the molecule extension were recorded at angular velocities ranging from $\Omega = 0$ up to 7.0 s^{-1} for both cases of a laminar shear flow in a pure solvent and of a laminar shear flow via the elastic transition and in the elastic turbulence regime for polymer solutions. The results of velocity measurements as a function of time in the laminar flow as well as in the turbulent regime were shown in Fig.1C.

Since we are interested in statistics of molecule stretching, we present the probability distribution function (PDF) of molecule extensions, $P(R_i)$, obtained by binning the data for about 1000 molecules with 1 μm bins and normalized by the total number of molecules for each value of Wi in the both shear and turbulent flows (Fig.2). The data on PDFs for 9 values of local $Wi = \tau\Omega r_i/d$ at the local value of r_i for the turbulent flow and for 6 values of Wi for the laminar flow were obtained after waiting initial transient period of more than 50 units of strain ($\gamma = \dot{\gamma}t \geq 50$) since change in Ω was made. The smallest measured extension is $\sim 1\mu\text{m}$ that is close to R_g . As Wi increases the shape of PDFs in the both laminar and turbulent flows changes dramatically but differently. In the shear flow PDF changes from strongly skewed at low Wi to rather flat and symmetric at high Wi . In contrast to this, in the random flow PDF varies with Wi from strongly skewed toward lower extension values to the skewed toward higher values. The scaled root mean square(rms) value of extension, $\sigma = [\sum_i (R_i - \mu)^2 P(R_i)]^{1/2} / \mu$ (where $\mu = \sum_i R_i P(R_i)$ is the mean extension), remains constant on the level of $\sim 40\%$ in the pure shear flow, and reduced down to $\sim 30\%$ in the turbulent flow as Wi increases. The scaled PDF skewness, $s = [\sum_i (R_i - \mu)^3 P(R_i)] / \sigma^3$, differs dramatically for two flows: for the shear flow it reaches

about zero at high Wi , while for the turbulent flow it changes from large positive to large negative values as Wi increases (see inset in Fig.3). The latter reflects the fact that the statistics of polymer stretching change critically, so that DNA molecules change from preferably non-stretched to mostly stretched as Wi increases.

We also calculated the mean fractional molecule extension, μ/l , as a function of Wi for both flows (Fig.3). In the shear flow (data 5) μ/l increases gradually and reaches value of 0.44 at the highest Wi . Our data agree rather well with the data of Ref. [3] (data 2). In the turbulent flow μ/l rises sharper to the value of ~ 0.68 (data 3,4) that lies between those reached in the shear (data 2,5) and elongation (data 1) flows (Fig.3). On the other hand, the molecule extension in the elastic turbulent regime corresponding to the maximum of PDFs (data 6) shows much higher values, up to 0.85 (Fig.3).

Let us compare the results on additional polymer stretching in a turbulent flow obtained by the direct measurements and by estimations based on our early mechanical measurements for polymer molecules of different stiffness (DNA versus PAAm) [5]. From the single λ -DNA molecule measurements it follows that an increase in μ/l due to a 3D random flow compared with the shear flow is about 4.5 times (Fig.3). The estimates based on a linear elasticity approximation and the measurements of the PAAm contribution to a shear stress in both shear and random flows give the factor 13 [5]. The discrepancy indicates, first, that the different stiffness results in different degree of stretching due to a turbulent flow, and second, that the assumption of the linear elasticity at such large extensions is not valid, and a nonlinear correction for a finite molecule extension should be taken into account. On the other hand, by using the worm-like chain model [11] that describes rather accurately force-extension relation for a DNA molecule, and using the extension distributions in both flows one can estimate the change in the polymer contribution to the shear stress due to additional stretching in the 3D random flow. It gives the factor of about 60 that should be compared with the factor 170 measured directly in the table-top experiment with PAAm molecules [5].

The main goal of the experiment was to verify whether a sharp variation in a molecular extension in a turbulent flow indicates the coil-stretch transition. More than 30 years ago Lumley [12] first suggested a qualitative theory of polymer stretching in a random flow. It was recently revised, and the quantitative theory of the coil-stretch transition of a polymer molecule in a 3D random flow was developed [13,14]. Dynamics of a polymer molecule are sensitive to a fluid motion at the dissipation scale, where the velocity field is spatially smooth and random in time [12]. On this scale polymer stretching is determined only by the velocity gradient tensor, $\hat{\kappa}$, that varies randomly in time and space. In a 3D random flow $\hat{\kappa}$ always has an eigenvalue with a positive real part, so

that there exists a direction with a pure elongation flow [15]. The direction and the rate of the elongation flow change randomly, as a fluid element rotates and moves along the Lagrangian trajectory. If $\hat{\kappa}$ remains correlated within finite time intervals, the overall statistically averaged stretching of the fluid element will increase exponentially fast in time. The rate of the stretching is defined by the maximal Lyapunov exponent, λ , of a turbulent flow, which is the average logarithmic rate of separation of two initially close trajectories.

Stretching of a polymer molecule follows a deformation of a surrounding fluid element. So the statistics of polymer stretching in a random smooth flow depends critically on λ , and therefore, on the value of $\lambda \cdot \tau$. According to the theory [12,13] the polymer molecules should become vastly stretched, if the condition $\lambda \cdot \tau > 1$ is fulfilled, and the coil-stretch transition is defined by the relation $\lambda_{cr} \cdot \tau = 1$ similar to that in a stationary elongation flow with the extension rate equal to λ [16].

According to the recent theory [13] the tail of PDF of molecular extensions is described by the power law $P(R_i) \sim R_i^{-\alpha-1}$, where $\alpha \sim (\tau^{-1} - \lambda)$ in the vicinity of the transition. Positive α corresponds to the majority of the polymer molecules being non-stretched. On the contrary, at $\alpha < 0$ the majority of the molecules is strongly stretched, and their finite size is defined by the feedback reaction of the polymers on the flow [13] and by non-linearity of molecular elasticity [14]. Thus, the condition $\alpha = 0$ can be interpreted as the criterion for the coil-stretch transition in turbulent flows [13].

Solid lines in Fig.2 are algebraic fits to the PDF tails. The exponents α obtained from the fits versus Ω^{-1} are presented in Fig.4. The linear fit to the data intersects with $\alpha = 0$ at $\Omega_{cr} = 0.68s^{-1}$.

In a separate experiment we measured statistics of λ as a function of Ω around the elastic instability transition from laminar to turbulent flows. The measurements were conducted in the same set-up (besides the objective $\times 20$ with $1.94 \mu m$ depth of focus was used) and in the same solutions but instead of the labelled DNA molecules either $1 \mu m$ or $5.7 \mu m$ green fluorescent beads were added. A separation of two adjacent beads along Lagrangian trajectories was measured on many bead pairs (about 3000 pairs at each value of Ω , and each point represents the data collection during 30 minutes) as a function of Ω . From PDF of finite-time Lyapunov exponents, defined from the beads separation rate, the Cramer rate functions $S(\lambda) \propto t_i^{-1} \ln P(\lambda, t_i)$ for several observation times t_i are found to collapse on one curve (see inset in Fig.5) [17]. Its minimum defines the average Lyapunov exponent, $\bar{\lambda}$, for a given Ω [17]. The dependence of $\bar{\lambda}$ on Ω is presented in Fig.5. From this plot one can clearly see that the elastic instability takes place at $\Omega_{el} \approx 0.46s^{-1}$, while for the coil-stretch transition at Ω_{cr} one finds $\bar{\lambda}_{cr} = 0.07 \pm 0.017s^{-1}$. It provides for the criterion of the coil-stretch transition $\bar{\lambda}_{cr} \cdot \tau = 0.77 \pm 0.20$ that is rather close to the theoret-

ically predicted value and the recent numerical simulations [17,18]. Small discrepancy can be attributed to the non-linear elasticity of a DNA molecule [14] and inhomogeneity of the velocity field [13].

We thank M Chertkov, V. Lebedev, and A. Celani for numerous illuminating and helpful discussions and theoretical guidance. We thank A. Groisman for contribution and discussions in the early stages of this work, O. Reiner for help in DNA sample analysis, and E. Segre and N. Makedonska for help with software. This work was supported by the grants of Minerva Foundation, Israel Science Foundation, Binational USA-Israel Science Foundation, and by the Minerva Center for Nonlinear Physics of Complex Systems. One of us (C.C.) is grateful for financial support of Professor Koshland Foundation as well as European Community (Mary Curie fellowship program).

-
- [1] R. B. Bird, C. F. Curtiss, R. C. Armstrong, and O. Hassager, *Dynamics of polymeric liquids* (John Wiley, NY, 1987).
 - [2] T. Perkins, D. Smith, S. Chu, *Science* **276**, 2016(1997)
 - [3] D. Smith, H. Babcock, S. Chu, *Science* **283**, 1724 (1999).
 - [4] J. Hur, E. Shaqfeh, H. Babcock, and S. Chu, *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 011915 (2002); H. Babcock, R. Teixeira, J. Hur, E. Shaqfeh, and S. Chu, *Macromolecules* **36**, 4544 (2003).
 - [5] A. Groisman, V. Steinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 934 (2001).
 - [6] A. Groisman, V. Steinberg, *Nature* **405**, 53 (2000).
 - [7] A. Groisman, V. Steinberg, *Nature* **410**, 905 (2001).
 - [8] T. Burghel, E. Segre, I. Bar-Joseph, A. Groisman, V. Steinberg, accepted for publication at *Phys. Rev. E* (2004).
 - [9] T. Perkins, D. Smith, R. Larson, S. Chu, *Science* **268**, 83 (1995).
 - [10] The maximum polymer relaxation time, τ , was found by analyzing the relaxation of 12 DNA molecules that were extended by $> 30\%$ in a shear flow, when the flow was stopped. As the result of the fit by the function $R(t)^2 = c \exp(-t/\tau) + b$, where τ, c, b were free parameters, we found $\tau = 11 \pm 0.1$ sec.
 - [11] J. Marko, E. Siggia, *Macromolecules* **28**, 8759 (1995).
 - [12] J. Lumley, *Symp. Math.* **9**, 315 (1972).
 - [13] E. Balkovsky, A. Fouxon, V. Lebedev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4765 (2000).
 - [14] M. Chertkov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4761 (2000).
 - [15] G. K. Batchelor, *J. Fluid Mech.* **5**, 113 (1959); R. Kraichnan, *Phys. Fluids* **11**, 945 (1968).
 - [16] P. G. de Gennes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **60**, 5030 (1974).
 - [17] G. Boffetta, A. Celani, S. Musacchio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 034501 (2003).
 - [18] B. Eckhardt, J. Kronjager, J. Schumacher, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **147**, 538 (2002).

FIG. 1. A. Schematic diagram of the set-up. B. Images of individual polymer molecules in a turbulent flow at $\Omega = 7s^{-1}$ and $Wi = 56.5$. The horizontal bar indicates 5μ m. C. Temporal behavior of azimuthal flow velocity at two values of Ω : (1) $0.5s^{-1}$; (2) $5.5s^{-1}$.

FIG. 2. PDFs of polymer extension in turbulent (a,b,c,d) and steady shear (e,f,g,h) flows at several values of Wi .

FIG. 3. The mean fractional molecule extension calculated from PDFs as a function of Wi for various flows (explanation in text). Lines are to guide the eye. Inset: rms and skewness of PDFs as a function of Wi for turbulent (dots) and shear (squares) flows.

FIG. 4. α as a function of Ω^{-1} . Black dots present the data after the coil-stretch transition, and open circles -before it. Solid line is the fit to the data, and dotted lines show the transition at $\alpha = 0$.

FIG. 5. Average Lyapunov exponent $\bar{\lambda}$ as a function of Ω . The data are taken in: (1) PAAm and (2) λ -DNA solutions. Inset: The Cramer rate function $S(\lambda)$ versus λ at $\Omega = 0.83s^{-1}$.